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## SCOPE OF WORK

- A NEPP (NASA Electronic Parts and Packaging) collaborative effort among NASA Centers to address performance and reliability of new COTS (Commercial-Off-The-Shelf) power devices based on wide bandgap semiconductor for use in space harsh environment
- Test and evaluate performance of emerging GaN (Gallium Nitride) \& SiC (Silicon Carbide) power devices under the exposure to radiation and thermal cycling
- Document results and disseminate findings


## TECHNICAL APPROACH:

- Identify and acquire candidate power devices
- Perform parametric evaluation
- Subject devices to radiation exposure representative of mission environment
- Perform long-term thermal cycling on survived parts
- Determine effects of radiation and temperature cycling on performance of devices
- Address reliability, determine risk factors, and identify mitigation techniques for device use in space missions


## Accomplished/Ongoing Work

- Radiation Testing (GSFC/JPL)
> TID (Total Ionization Dose)
> SEE (Single Event Effect)
- Thermal Cycling (GRC)
> Control (Un-irradiated) Samples
> Irradiated Parts
> Long-Term
- Wide Bandgap Devices
> First Generation GaN FETs (EPC) from JPL
$>$ SiC MOSFETs (Cree) from GSFC
> Second Generation GaN FETs (EPC) from JPL


## Thermal Cycling

## At NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC)

- Cycling Profile:
> Total \# of Cycles 1000
> Temperature rate of change: $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$
> Temperature range: $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$>$ Soak time at extreme temperatures: 10 min
- Repeat measurements on devices during cycling
- Perform measurements after conclusion of cycling activity



## Test Setup



## Parameters Investigated:

- I-V Output Characteristics
- Gate Threshold Voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {TH }}$
- Drain-Source On-Resistance, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(o n)}$
- Pre, during, \& post-cycling, measurements at selected temperatures

Equipment Used:

- SONY/Tektronix 370A Curve Tracer
- Keithley 238 Source-Measure-Units
- LN-cooled Sun Systems Chamber


## First Generation GaN FETs

 Irradiated by JPL at TAMU- Efficient Power Conversion, EPC1001, GaN transistors grown on Si wafer; http://www.epc-co.com
- Passivated-die form with solder bumps

Sample die mounted on test structure


| \# of Parts | Device <br> Label | Condition | Ion | Energy (MeV) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LET } \\ & \left(\mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right. \\ & \mathrm{lgm}) \end{aligned}$ | Range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Dose (rad (Si)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | K7063 | Irradiated | Au | 2342 | 84.7 | 122.9 | 22718 |
| 1 | K7064 | Irradiated | Xe | 1569 | 98.8 | 124.5 | 8301 |
| 1 | K7044 | Irradiated | Xe | 1569 | 50.9 | 124.5 | 7886 |
| 1 | K7065 | Irradiated | Xe | 1569 | 98.8 | 124.5 | 15838 |
| 4 | K7068-K7071 | Control (un-irradiated) |  |  |  |  |  |

## EPC1001 Enhancement-Mode GaN Power FETs

Manufacturer's Specifications

| Part \# | EPC1001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Drain-Source Voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{DS}}(\mathrm{V})$ | 100 |
| Gate Threshold Voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $1.4 @ \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{DS}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{GS}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=5 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| Drain Current, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{A})$ | 25 |
| Drain-Source On Resistance, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{ON})}(\mathrm{m} \Omega)$ | $5.6 @ \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{GS}}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=25 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Operating Temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | -40 to +125 |
| Package Type | Passivated-Die with Solder Bumps |

## Focused Ion Beam and SEM Cross-Section of EPC GaN FETs

SEM Micrograph, 65X , 52 deg. Tilt

SEM Micrograph after FIB Cut, 2500X, 52 deg. Tilt


## RADIATION DATA from JPL

- Devices were irradiated under bias at increasing drain biases
- Transfer curves were measured between irradiations
- Devices still functioned but were well out of spec after irradiation


## Device K7044 (EPC1001 First Gen GaN FET irradiated with Xe to 7.9 krad (Si))




## RADIATION DATA from JPL

## Device K7063 (EPC1001 First Gen GaN FET irradiated with Au to 27.7 krad (Si))
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After 1000 Cycles Drop in Transconductance
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After 500 Cycles Transconductance Increase
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After 1000 Cycles Drop in Transconductance


National Aeronautics and Space Administration I-V Curves for K7064 (irradiated, Xe ions, 8.3 krad (Si))





National Aeronautics and Space Administration I-V Curves for K7044 (irradiated, Xe ions, 7.9 krad (Si)



Drain Current of EPC1001 GaN FETs to 1000 Thermal Cycles


GATE THRESHOLD VOLTAGE, $\mathbf{V}_{\text {TH }}$
EPC1001 GaN FETs


## Drain-Source On Resistance, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{ON})}$

EPC1001 GaN FETs


## OBSERVATIONS

- All eight GaN FETs remained functional after exposure to radiation followed by 1000 thermal cycles between $-55 \&+125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Main impact of radiation was increase in leakage current of devices
- Thermal cycling seemed to introduce inconsistent variation in I-V characteristic curves of the GaN FETs; notably in their transconductance
- $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}}$ of tested devices experienced an initial decrease with cycling but seemed to level off after exposure to about 130 cycles; possibly due to thermal conditioning
- For the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{ON})}$ data, at 1000 thermal cycles the values of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{ON})}$ occurred in two distinct clusters. A two-sample t-test ( $p<0.005$ ) showed that the means of the clusters were different. The cause of the two distinct clusters is not known, but further investigation should probably wait until the second generation of the devices is tested.


## SiC Power MOSFETs

## Irradiated by GSFC at GSFC REF

- Cree Z-FET transistor, part \# CMF20120D
- http://www.cree.com

| Part \# | CMF20120D |
| :--- | :---: |
| Drain-Source Breakdown Voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{(\mathrm{VBR}) \mathrm{DSS}},(\mathrm{V})$ | 1200 |
| Gate Threshold Voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}}(\mathrm{V})$ | 2.5 |
| Drain Current, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{A})$ | 33 |
| Drain-Source On Resistance, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{ON})}(\mathrm{m} \Omega)$ | 80 |
| Operating Temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | -55 to +125 |
| Package | Plastic TO-247-3 |

## Radiation Testing

## CMF20120D SiC MOSFETs

- Radiation done using Cobalt-60 source for a total dose of 600 krad (Si)
- Damage Criteria: gate threshold voltage shifted below 1V, or turn-on delay time increased by more than 200\%
- Thermal annealing after 400 krad (one week at RT) and after 600 krad (one week @ $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) per MIL-STD-883

| Device <br> Label | Condition |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Control |
| 5 | Control |
| 4 | Irradiated, Biased ON: Vgs $=20 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Vds}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| 7 | Irradiated, Biased OFF: $\mathrm{Vgs}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Vds}=900 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| 9 | Irradiated, GRND: $\mathrm{Vgs}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Vds}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ |

## Threshold Voltage



## Breakdown Voltage



## Turn-on Time Delay



## Threshold Voltage

CMF20120D SiC MOSFETs


## On-State Resistance CMF20120D SiC MOSFETs





After 500 cycles



National Aeronautics and Space Administration I/W Curves



After 500 cycles
(SiC Dev 4 Biased ON, irradiated)



National Aeronautics and Space Administration I/V Curves (SiC Dev 7 Biased OFF, irradiated)



After 500 cycles


After 750 cycles


National Aeronautics and Space Administration
I/V Curves



After 500 cycles
(SiC Dev 9 GRND, irradiated





After 500 cycles


After 750 cycles


After 1000 cycles


Pre-cycling



After 750 cycles
Continued Increase at - $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


# OBSERVATIONS <br> CMF20120D Sic MOSFETs 

- Radiation
> All parameters, except breakdown voltage, stayed within "specifications" to 600 krad
> There is a time-dependent dose effect (evident between 250 and 300 krad steps)
> Small sample size and large part-to-part variability
- Thermal Cycling
> All devices maintained functionality after 1000 cycles
$>$ No effect on gate threshold voltage
> On-state resistance increased with cycling, notably at low temperatures. Trend was same for control and irradiated parts
$>$ No alteration in device packaging or terminations


## Second Generation GaN FETs

## Irradiated by JPL at TAMU

## EPC 2012 GaN FET (Rated 200V, 3A, 100m $)$ ), Precycling @ $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$



Control (un-irradiated)


Irradiated
Decrease in Transconductance

## Second Generation GaN FETs Irradiated by JPL at JPL

EPC 2014 GaN FET (Rated 40V, 10A, 16m $\Omega$ ), Precycling @ $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


## Second Generation GaN FETs

## Irradiated by JPL at TAMU

EPC 2015 GaN FET (Rated 40V, 33A, 4m $\Omega$ ), Precycling @ $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Control (un-irradiated)


Irradiated
Decrease in Transconductance

## Planned Work

- Conduct multi-stress tests (electrical/thermal) on these control and irradiated GaN \& SiC power devices
- Perform overstress tests to determine failure mechanisms
- Repeat work on newly-developed GaN and SiC COTS power devices in support of NEPP Program
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